

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/728,403	12/05/2003	Wilhelm Fischbach	20020/10012	9746	
34431 75	90 08/21/2006		EXAMINER		
HANLEY, FL	IGHT & ZIMMERMA	JOHNSON, STEPHEN			
20 N. WACKEI SUITE 4220	R DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3641		

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/728,403	10/728,403		FISCHBACH ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Stephen M. J	ohnson	3641			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later t earned patent term adjustment. See 3	FROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period we ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex , cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 12.//	ine 2006					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	· · · <u> </u>	action is non-	-final				
<u>'</u>	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			.,				
·	nding in the application						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pe	s) is/are withdraw		deration				
5) Claim(s) is/are	, ,	WII IIOIII COIISI	zeration.				
6) Claim(s) is/are i							
					•		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are (olootion roquir	omont				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subj	ect to restriction and/or t	election requi	ement.				
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is obj	ected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□	objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not reques	st that any objection to the	drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sh	eet(s) including the correct	ion is required	f the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies3. Copies of the ce	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior	s have been r s have been r rity documents	eceived. eceived in Applicati s have been receive	on No	l Stage		
• •	the International Bureau	•	, ,,				
* See the attached detaile	d Office action for a list	of the certified	I copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-		4)	☐ Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D		E\	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F		·O-152\		
 Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	s) (P10-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Other:	асент друнсации (РТ	O-132)		

Application/Control Number: 10/728,403 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

1. Note that the restriction requirement imposed on 8/31/2005 has been revised in response to issues previously raised by applicant. The finality of the requirement is removed in order to give applicant an opportunity to respond to the amended restriction requirement and/or alter the elected invention.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 2-18 and 20-21 drawn to a dust cover in combination with a magnetic lock, classified in class 70, subclass 159.
 - II. Claims 19 and 22-23, drawn to a breech block in combination with a dust cover and associated method of operating or manufacturing a firearm with breech block in combination with a dust cover, classified in class 42, subclass 90.
- 3. Claim 1 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory

Art Unit: 3641

double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as evidenced by claims [claims 4 or 13 (denote Bsp)] and [claims 22 or 23 (denote ABbr)]. The subcombination has separate utility such as utility on a firearm at a location remote from the firearm breechblock or with firearm lacking a reciprocating breechblock.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, different search, and recognized divergence in subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete **must include (i) an**election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37
 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/728,403 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-6877

and whose e-mail address is (Stephen.Johnson@uspto.gov). The examiner can normally be

reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The Central FAX phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 800-786-9199.

Slepter lan

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ August 17, 2006